High-Resolution Accurate-Mass (HRAM) Phthalate Screening using Direct Analysis in Real Time (DART) Ambient Ionization

Catharina Crone,¹ Markus Kellmann,¹ Yue Xuan,¹ Elizabeth Crawford² ¹Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany; ²IonSense, Inc., Saugus, MA, USA





Overview

Purpose:

Demonstrating the analysis of phthalic acid diesters on a high-resolution accuratemass Thermo Scientific[™] Orbitrap[™] analyzer-based system coupled to a "Direct Analysis in Real Time" (DART[™]) ionization source. Due to the presence of a quadrupole mass filter and a collision cell, the system is capable of selective precursor isolation for higher energy collision induced dissociation (HCD) in order to distinguish different isomers and to confirm possible positive hits.

Methods:

A "Direct Analysis in Real Time" (DART) ionization device was coupled to an Orbitrapbased mass spectrometer in order to directly analyze different samples without the need for sample preparation and to avoid phthalate contamination during preparation steps. The background spectra were carefully monitored for ambient phthalate background levels during sample analysis and for instrument inlet contamination. Results of full scan MS analysis and HCD fragmentation of standards were compared with spectra obtained from commercial food packaging and food contact materials.

Results:

Phthalic acid diester standards could be distinguished by their accurate mass in full scan analysis and their specific fingerprint after performing full scan MS2 analysis. Screening of the food packaging materials was done in less than 2 minutes per sample.

Introduction

Phthalic acid diesters (PAEs), also know as phthalates, are widely used in industry as a plasticizer in everyday products like toys, flooring, personal care products and food packages. These compounds can be present up to a high ratio in some materials, for example, an average of 30% w/w in PVC [1]. As substances classified as semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOC), they have been known to evaporate over a long time.

Some of the PAEs have been classified as hazardous, affecting mainly the reproductive system and possibly increasing the risk of cancer [2,3]. The use of these compounds is officially under regulation but still, these phthalates might be present and used during production of goods.

Former studies using high-resolution, accurate-mass (HRAM) mass spectrometry were performed on a benchtop Orbitrap system in full scan mode [4]. In the presented study, advantage was taken of the possibility of using precursor ion selection for MS2. Obtaining a full scan MS2 spectrum, the occurring fragmentation pattern could be used as a fingerprint for the characterization of the different compounds, especially of the different isomers for nominal mass to charge ratios 279 and 391.

Due to their presence in indoor environments, the cross contamination during analysis has to be kept as low as possible. Main sources of contamination are glassware and organic solvents. Therefore, a direct examination without the need of sample extraction and chromatography is of great advantage for these kind of analyses. Still, background coming from carpets etc. has to be critically monitored and subtracted from the sample derived signals.

Methods

Mass Spectrometry

All data was acquired using a Thermo Scientific[™] Q Exactive[™] mass spectrometer (Figure 1) coupled to a direct analysis in real time (DART) SVPA ion source (IonSense Inc., Saugus, MA, USA). The DART source was operated at 200 °C using helium as carrier gas.

Source settings for the mass spectrometer were 200 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for the capillary transfer tube and a S-Lens level of 50 arbitrary units.

The mass spectrometer was operated in full scan mode (positive ionization mode, mass range m/z 100-1000, AGC target 1e6 charges, R=140k), SIM mode (positive ionization mode, isolation width at full width half maximum 2u, AGC target 1e5 charges, R=140k) as well as MS2 mode (positive ionization mode, isolation width at full width half maximum 2u, AGC target 2e5 charges, R=140k).

For HCD fragmentation, the normalized collision energy (NCE) was set to 10 arbitrary units. Targets were chosen for inclusion list with regards to Table 1.

All scan events were scheduled in one method setup resulting in a total method duration of 1.2 minutes (Figure 2). After starting the acquisition, no sample was introduced for up to 0.3 minutes in order to get the background level. Samples were introduced at 0.3 minutes starting with the full scan analysis.





FIGURE 2. Method setup for the phthalate screening method. The method includes full scan analysis as well as targeted SIM and targeted MS2 for the chosen compounds of interest (Table 1). The first 0.3 minutes were scheduled in order to get the background level information without introducing the samples.



Compound	Elemental composition	Precursor [M+H]+	Selection of characteristic HCD fragments m/z	Regulation
Di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP)	C ₁₆ H ₂₂ O ₄	279.1591	167.0339; 205.0859; 223.0965	CA Prop 65
Diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP)	C ₁₆ H ₂₂ O ₄	279.1591	167.0339; 205.0859	EU
Benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP)	C ₁₉ H ₂₀ O ₄	313.1434	91.0542; 205.0859	CA Prop 65
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)	C ₂₄ H ₃₈ O ₄	391.2843	167.0339; 279.1591	CA Prop 65
Di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP)	C ₂₄ H ₃₈ O ₄	391.2843	167.0339; 261.1485	CA Prop 65
Dioctyltere phthalate (DOTP)	C ₂₄ H ₃₈ O ₄	391.2843	167.0339; 261.1485; 279.1591	-
Diisononyl phthalate (DiNP)	C ₂₆ H ₄₂ O ₄	419.3156	127.1481; 275.1642; 293.1747	CA Prop 65
Diisodecyl phthalate (DiDP)	C ₂₈ H ₄₆ O ₄	447.3469	141.1638; 289.1798; 291.1955; 307.1904	CA Prop 65

TABLE 1. List of analyzed phthalate standards. Exact masses of [M+H]+ for precursors as well as for a selection of characteristic HCD fragments are displayed.

Sample preparation

Standards were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St Louis, MO,USA) and were applied as liquids onto stainless steel mesh (Figure 3). Standards were introduced to the DART source using a motorized sample platform. The commercial packaging samples were introduced to the source by tweezers using an adjustable tweezer base in order to maintain a distinct distance from sample to instrument inlet (Figure 4).

FIGURE 3. DART SVP ionization source attached to a Q Exactive system. The tweezer is showing a lid gasket sample shortly before sampling.



FIGURE 4. Sampling set-up for phthalate standards for DART analysis. Stainless steel mesh screens used as the sampling surface for phthalate standards. Deposited liquid volume was about 3 μ L.



Results

Standards and Background lons

Phthalates are ubiquitously distributed in lab environments. Phthalate contamination during sample preparation might mimic or disturb the actual results. Using DART ionization, these contaminations can be minimized by eliminating sample preparation before sample analysis. Background signal was measured prior to each sample acquisition in order to monitor for ambient phthalates that could be detected with the sample.

The generated HCD fragments (Figure 5-8) were in accordance to the fragments obtained on a linear ion trap (data not shown) and to those described in the literature [5,6]. With regards to product ion ratios, the HCD fragmentation showed higher intensities for lower m/z fragments whereas the intensities of higher m/z species is lower. m/z 149 is MS2 base peak for all standards except DiDP.

FIGURE 5. HCD spectra of DNOP (A), DOTP (B) and DEHP (C) standards. All sample compounds show a precursor m/z of 391.2843. Spectra are showing the presence of m/z 261 and absence of m/z 167 as a specific marker for DNOP. All measured mass-to-charge ratios are within a mass deviation of \leq 1.4 ppm compared to the calculated exact masses. Data was acquired with external mass calibration.



NL: 1.20E7 120830_DNOP_HCD_NCE10_140k_02#59 RT: 0.53 AV: 1 T: FTMS + p NSI Full ms2 391.20@hcd10.00 [50.00-500.00]

NL: 2.12E4

120903_dotp_hcd_sim_02#114 RT: 1.10 AV: 1 T: FTMS + p NSI Full ms2 391.28@hcd10.00 [100.00-420.00]

NL: 2.01E6

120831_dehp_hcd_nce10_fm100_140k_01#57 RT: 0.51 AV: 1 T: FTMS + p NSI Full ms2 391.30@hcd10.00 [100.00-500.00]

NL: 2.19E5

120903_DART_pure_background_HCD_SIM_391 _01#139 RT: 1.30 AV: 1 T: FTMS + p NSI Full ms2 391.28@hcd10.00 [100.00-420.00]

FIGURE 6. HCD spectra of DBP (A) and DIBP (B) standards. Zoom in to mass range 160-250. Proposed HCD fragments are assigned using Thermo Scientific™ Mass Frontier™ software. All measured mass-to-charge ratios are within a mass deviation ≤2.2 ppm compared to the calculated exact masses. Data was acquired with external mass calibration.



FIGURE 7. HCD spectra of DINP (A) and DIDP (B). Proposed HCD fragments are assigned using Mass Frontier software. All measured mass-to-charge ratios are within a deviation \leq 1.9 ppm compared to the calculated exact masses. Data was acquired with external mass calibration.



FIGURE 8. HCD spectrum of BBP. Proposed structures are assigned using Mass Frontier software. All mass-to-charge ratios are within a mass deviation ≤1.5 ppm compared to the calculated exact masses. Data was acquired with external mass calibration.

120831_sim_hcd_bbp_9a#103_RT: 0.91_AV: 1_NL: 4.52E6 T: FTMS + p NSI Full ms2 313.14@hcd15.00 [50.00-340.00]



Food packaging material and everyday products

In total, 13 lid gaskets, 9 milk packages, 5 bags and fruit containers were tested. In addition, 7 plastic shoes, 12 wallet samples and 2 sports equipment were tested as well. No positive hit for any of the tested banned standard compounds (DEHP, DBP, DiBP, BBP) could be found in the samples. Full MS data revealed the presence of other compounds used in polymer and plastic industry like acetyl dibutyl citrate (ATBC), diethylhydroxylamine (DEHA), acetylated partial glycerides (AcPG) and erucamide could be identified (data not shown). For future work, reference material should be prepared containing defined ratios of standards for validating the screening method and for developing a quantitative approach.

The presented screening method offers a very fast and convenient setup for getting high-resolution, accurate-mass full scan data as well as getting the whole MS2 fragmentation pattern with the same quality.

Conclusion

- DART combined with Orbitrap-based HRAM LC-MS/MS was shown to be a very fast and convenient way for screening for additives in food packaging and other goods
- Due to ambient phthalate content, the background has to be carefully monitored before starting the analysis of each sample to avoid contamination
- For future work, defined reference material has to be prepared to work on a quantitative approach

References

- 1. The Federal Environment Agency, Germany
- 2. www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/dailys/02/Dec02/120502/02d-0325-c000018-02vol1.pdf
- 3. Kamrin MA; "Phthalate risks, phthalate regulation, and public health: a review"; J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev, 2009, 12(2):157-74
- Self, RL et al.; "Rapid qualitative analysis of phthalates added to food and nutraceutical products by direct analysis in real time/orbitrap mass spectrometry"; Food Control, 2012, 25:13-16
- Rothenbacher T., Schwack, W.; "Rapid and nondestructive analysis of phthalic acid esters in toys made of poly(vinyl chloride) by direct analysis in real time single-quadrupole mass spectrometry"; Rapid Commun Mass Sepctrom, 2009; 23:2829-2835
- Kuki,A et al.; "Fast identification of phthalic acid esters in poly(vinyl chloride) samples by Direct Analysis in Real Time (DART) tandem mass spectrometry", Int J Mass Spectrom, 2011, 303: 225-228

www.thermoscientific.com

©2013 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved. ISO is a trademark of the International Standards Organization. Mass Fortier is a trademark of HighChem, Ltd. DART is a trademark of longense, Inc. Sigma Aldrich is a trademark of SIGMA ALDRICH CO., LLC. All other trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Specifications, terms and pricing are subject to change. Not all products are available in all countries. Please consult your local sales representative for details.

 Africa-Other
 +27
 11
 570
 1840
 Europe-Other
 +43
 1
 333
 50
 34
 0

 Australia
 +61
 3
 9757
 4300
 Finland/Norway/Sweden
 Finland/Norway/Sweden
Australia +61 3 9757 4300
 Austria
 +43
 1
 333
 50
 34
 0
 Belgium
 +32
 53
 73
 42
 41

 Canada
 +1
 800
 530
 8447
China +86 10 8419 3588 **Denmark** +45 70 23 62 60

+46 8 556 468 00 +40 8 555 468 00 France +33 1 60 92 48 00 Germany +49 6103 408 1014 India +91 22 6742 9434 Italy +39 02 950 591 **Japan** +81 45 453 9100 **Latin America** +1 561 688 8700 Middle East +43 1 333 50 34 0 Netherlands +31 76 579 55 55 New Zealand +64 9 980 6700 **Russia/CIS** +43 1 333 50 34 0 **South Africa** +27 11 570 1840



Spain +34 914 845 965 **Switzerland** +41 61 716 77 00 **UK** +44 1442 233555 **USA** +1 800 532 4752



ASMS13_W722_CCrone_E 07/13S