A Sensitive Method for Direct Analysis of Impurities in Apramycin and Other **Aminoglycoside Antibiotics Using Charged Aerosol Detection**

Zhen Long,¹ Qi Zhang,² Yan Jin,¹ Lina Liang,¹ Bruce Bailey,² Ian Acworth,² and Deepali Mohindra³ ¹Thermo Fisher Scientific, Shanghai, China; ²Thermo Fisher Scientific, Chelmsford, MA; ³Thermo Fisher Scientific, Sunnyvale, CA

Overview

Purpose: To develop a sensitive non-derivatization method for impurity assessment of apramycin sulfate and other aminoglycoside antibiotics.

Methods: A 30min gradient method using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography with charged aerosol detection (HILIC-CAD) was developed for direct analysis of apramycin sulfate . Samples were pretreated with solid phase extraction (SPE) to remove sulfate ion for more accurate determination of impurities. The same sample was also analyzed with the SCX-UV method recommended by British pharmacopoeia (veterinary) 2013, which requires post column derivatization.

Results: 16 impurities of apramycin were detected at S/N \ge 3 with the HILIC-CAD method. The SCX-UV method recommended by British Pharmacopoeia only detected just seven impurities. The HILIC-CAD method is much more sensitive than ELSD. With 20 μ g apramycin sulfate on column, 7 impurities were detected by CAD at S/N > 3, while only 3 impurities were detected by ELSD. This method, with or without slight modification, was also used for impurity measurement of an additional eleven aminoglycoside antibiotics, including neomycin, gentamicin, kanamycin, streptomycin, tobramycin, amikacin, etimicin, netilmicin, sisomicin, ribostamycin and paromomycin.

Introduction

Aminoglycosides are a group of structurally similar antibiotics used to treat infections caused by aerobic gram-negative bacteria¹. Analytical methods are required for rapid assessment of drug purity and detection of minor degredants. As they lack a strong chromophore, these compounds are not amenable to UV detection.

Apramycin is an antibiotic used in veterinary medicine. Reported methods for apramycin and impurities analysis usually involves pre- or post column derivatization followed by UV detection^{2,3}. Such approaches are tedious and time consuming, and may not be able to detect all impurities.

Aminoglycosides can be measured directly by charged aerosol detection without derivatization. Corona Veo is a universal mass-sensitive detector, Its response is independent of chemical structure, and does not require the presence of chromophores. Capable of measuring any nonvolatile and many semi-volatile analytes, charged aerosol detection enables accurate degradation studies and improved assessments of product purity. The Corona Veo is much more sensitive than other universal detectors like ELSD and RI, offering low nanogram quantitation. This poster presents a sensitive HILIC-CAD method for direct analysis of apramycin and other aminoglycosides. Method performance was compared to the British Pharmacopoeia HPLC-UV method and ELSD detection.

Methods

Thermo Scientific[™] Dionex[™] UltiMate[™] 3000 RSLC system with:

- LPG-3400SD • Pump:
- · Auto Sampler: WPS-3000TSL
- Column Compartment :TCC-3000RS
- Diode Array Detector: DAD-3000RS
- Charged aerasol detector: Corona Veo RS
- Varian FLSD 385-LC

FIGURE 1. Structure of Apramycin



Sample pre-treatment with SPE

SPE Column: Sample solvent: Sample: SPE procedure: Dionex OnGuard II A 80% 5mM ammonium formate, 20% acetonitrile 220.6 mg/mL in 2 mL sample solvent Condition the SPE cartridge with 6 mL sample solvent, then pass the sample solution through the cartridge and wash the cartridge with additional 2 mL sample solvent . Combine collected loading eluent and wash solution for analysis. The final concentration of apramycin sample was 49 mg/mL. A 2 mL volume of sample solvent was treated with the same procedure and used as blank

HILIC-CAD/ELSD method

С

Column:	ACCHROM (Beijing, China), Click XIon, 4.6 x 150mm, 5μ m		
Temperature:	30 °C		
Flow rate:	1 mL/min		
Mobile Phase A:	Acetonitrile		
Mobile Phase B:	500 mM ammonium formate, pH 2.9		
Mobile phase C:	Water		
Gradient	0 min., 70 %A, 20%B, 10% C		
	30 min., 21% A, 20%B, 59% C		
Injection volume:	1 µL		
Corona Veo RS:	55 °C evaporation temp., PFV 1.00, data rate 10 Hz, filter 5 s,		
ELSD:	Nebulizer temp. 50 °C , evaporation temp. 70 °C		

SCX-UV method Column:

Temperature:

UV Detector:

Sample: Injection volume:

Venusil SCX-F 4.6 x 150 mm, 5 µm 30 °C 568 nm 0.28 mg/mL 20 µL

Chromatographic condition and post-column derivatization procedure are same as in British Veterinary Pharmacopoeia 2013



Data Analysis

Thermo Scientific[™] Dionex[™] Chromeleon[™] Chromatography Data System software, 7.2





Results

Sample pre-treatment with SPE

Sulfate is a major interference for apramycin impurity assessment with a HILIC method. Without sample cleanup, some early eluting impurities were found to be masked under the huge sulfate peak and could not be detected. A Dionex anion exchange SPE cartridge On Guard II A was used to remove sulfate. Sulfate was retained on the SPE cartridge while the apramycin and impurities passed through the cartridge and collected for further analysis. Sulfate was replaced by bicarbonate after SPE, which has little interference with apramycin analysis, since it is volatile and elutes earlier than the peaks of interest. As seen in Figure 2, after removing sulfate, more impurities can now be detected. Recovery of three impurity peaks, labeled as peak 11, 14 and 15 in Figure 3a, was calculated to be 107%, 92% and 93%, respectively.

Comparison of HILIC-CAD method with SCX-UV method

The HILIC-CAD method was compared to the SCX-UV method recommended by British Pharmacopoeia (veterinary) 2013 version (BP2013). As shown in Figure 3, the number of impurity peaks resolved and detected was greatly increased with the HILIC-CAD approach. About 16 impurity peaks were detected with the HILIC-CAD method at S/N > 3 (Figure 3A). The SCX-UV method only detected seven impurities, as not all of them could be derivatized by the SCX-UV approach (Figure 3B). Furthermore, the improved chromatographic resolution and peak shape allows for a higher sample load with the HILIC-CAD method enabling detection of low level impurities.

The effect of mobile buffer strength and pH on separation and peak shape was investigated. The method was optimized with 100mM ammonium formate at pH 2.9. The sample load was increased to 48.9 µg for the HILIC-CAD method and still maintains good peak shape with half peak width $W_{0.5} = 0.77$ min. While further increase of sample loading amount with the SCX-UV method caused significant peak broadening and results in decreased resolution between apramycin and impurity peaks.



FIGURE 3B. Impurity Analysis of Apramycin with the SCX-UV Method.

FIGURE 3B. Impurity Analysis of Apramycin with the SCX-UV Method.



Comparison of CAD and ELSD Detection

CAD and ELSD are both nebulization-based universal detection technologies. Comparison between CAD and ELSD under the same chromatographic conditions demonstrated that CAD is much more sensitive than ELSD. As shown in the chromatograms in Figure 4 and data summarized in Table 1, 16 impurities (S/N >3) were detected with CAD at an injected amount of 49.6 μ g apramycin sulfate on column, while only 12 impurities were detected with ELSD at this level. When injection amount decreased to 20 μ g on column, 7 impurity peaks were detected with CAD at S/N > 3, while only 3 peaks were detected with ELSD with much lower S/N compared to CAD.

The rapid decrease in analyte response at lower concentration found with ELSD is due to the sigmoidal nature of its response curve, resulting in much lower sensitivity.

FIGURE 4. Comparison of Detection Sensitivity Between CAD and ELSD.



Table 1. Comparison of Detection Sensitivity Between CAD and ELSD

Peak Number	Retention Time (min)	S/N			
		49 µg on column		20 µg on column	
		CAD	ELSD	CAD	ELSD
1	10.30	4.9	6.4		-
2	11.27	10.4	8.8		-
3	11.94	3.0	-		-
4	12.61	4.2	-	6.4	-
5	13.32	24.4	19.4		-
6	14.10	19.6	19.5	3.9	-
7	14.76	29.0	44.6	6.2	-
8	15.78	15.0	14.0	3.2	-
9	17.79	18.6	22.9		-
10	18.47	10.1	12.3	-	-
11	18.85	51.7	137.9	11.8	3.7
12	19.34	4.8	-		-
13	21.55	12.7	19.3		-
14	21.87	79.5	293.9	21.3	5.6
15	22.52	77.0	238.2	17.9	4.1
16	23.52	6.9	-	-	-

Analysis of Other Aminoglycoside Antibiotics

This method has also been applied to impurity analysis of an additional eleven aminoglycoside antibiotics, including neomycin, gentamicin, kanamycin, streptomycin, tobramycin, amikacin, etimicin, netilmicin, sisomicin, ribostamycin and paromomycin. Figure 5 shows impurity analysis of kenamycin, etimicin, ribostamycin and paromomycin using the HILIC-CAD method. For some other aminoglycoside antibiotics, modification of the gradient may be required for optimized separation and resolution.

FIGURE 5. Chromatograms for impurity analysis of kenamycin, etimicin, ribostamycin and paromomycin using the HILIC-CAD method.



Conclusions

- The described HILIC-CAD method for apramycin enables more accurate impurity assessment, due to the universal detection of CAD and improved sample loading capacity. More than 16 impurities were detected. The SCX-UV method recommended by British Pharmacopoeia only detected seven impurities.
- Comparison between Corona Veo and ELSD detection showed that CAD is much more sensitive than ELSD. With 20µg sample on column, 7 impurities were detected at S/N ≥ 3 with CAD, while only 3 peeks at S/N ≥ 3 were detected with ELSD.
- Sample pretreatment with anion exchange SPE removes interference of sulfate ion and allows for more accurate determination of impurities.
- This method can also be used for the analysis of many other aminoglycoside antibiotics.

References

- Perzynski, S.; Cannon, M.; Cundliffe, E.; Chahwala, S.B.; Davies, J. Effects of Apramycin, a novel aminoglycoside antibiotic on bacterial protein synthesis. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 1979, 99, 623–628.
- British Pharmacopoeia (Veterinary): 2013. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office, v.4, p. 38-40, 122-125, 2013.
- Barbosa, E.A.; Lourenco, F. R.; Terezinha Pinto. Determination of apramycin in oral soluble powder by a HPLC method using pre-column derivatization with ophthalaldehyde and UV detection. *Braz. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2011, 47, 261-268.

www.thermofisher.com

©2016 Thermo Fisher Scientifi c Inc. All rights reserved. All trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific and its subsidiaries. This information is presented as an example of the capabilities of Thermo Fisher Scientifi c products. It is not intended to encourage use of these products in any manners that might infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Specifi cations, terms and pricing are subject to change. Not all products are available in all countries. Please consult your local sales representative for details.

Africa +43 1 333 50 34 0 Australia +61 3 9757 4300 Austria +43 810 282 206 Belgium +32 53 73 42 41 Brazil +55 11 2730 3006 Canada +1 800 530 8447 China 800 810 5118 (ree call domestic) 400 650 5118

Denmark +45 70 23 62 60 Europe-Other +43 1 333 50 34 0 Finland +358 10 3292 0200 France +33 1 60 92 48 00 Germany +49 6103 408 1014 India +91 22 6742 9494 Italy +39 02 950 591 Japan +81 6 6885 1213 Korea +82 2 3420 8600 Latin America +1 561 688 8700 Middle East +43 1 333 50 34 0 Netherlands +31 76 579 55 55 New Zealand +64 9 980 6700 Norway +46 8 556 468 00 Russia/CIS +43 1 333 50 34 0 Singapore +65 6289 1190 Sweden +46 8 556 468 00 Switzerland +41 61 716 77 00 Taiwan +886 2 8751 6655 UK/Ireland +44 1442 23355 USA +1 800 532 4752 PN21432-EN 0616S



A Thermo Fisher Scientific Brand